North Korean Refugees in China

Introduction

China's treatment of North Korean refugees came under increased scrutiny in 2014 amid growing international concern over human rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK). A widely publicized United Nations Commission of Inquiry report released in February 2014 condemned China for forcibly repatriating North Korean refugees, stating that such action could be considered aiding and abetting crimes against humanity in the DPRK. Chinese authorities continue to detain and repatriate North Korean refugees to the DPRK despite repatriated persons facing torture, detention, and other inhumane treatment. The Chinese government maintains that North Koreans who enter China without proper documentation are illegal economic migrants and continues to repatriate them based on a 1961 treaty with the DPRK and 1986 border protocol.\(^1\) China's repatriation of North Korean refugees contravenes its international obligations under the 1951 UN Convention relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol.\(^2\) China is also obligated under the UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment to refrain from repatriating persons if there are "grounds for believing that [they] would be in danger of being subject to torture."\(^3\)

UN Commission of Inquiry: Findings and Reaction

On February 17, 2014, the United Nations Commission of Inquiry on Human Rights in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (UN COI) released its report on human rights violations in the DPRK.\(^4\) The UN COI explicitly denounced China in its report for forcibly repatriating North Korean refugees to the DPRK,\(^5\) declaring that Chinese officials could be held accountable for "the aiding and abetting of crimes against humanity" in cases where repatriation and the exchange of information on refugees "are specifically directed towards or have the purpose of facilitating the commission of crimes against humanity in the DPRK."\(^6\) The UN COI found that many North Koreans crossing the border into China "do so owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of religion or political opinion,"\(^7\) while repatriated persons are regularly "subjected to torture, arbitrary detention, summary execution, forced abortion and other forms of sexual violence."\(^8\) Despite China's assertion that North Koreans entering China are illegal economic migrants, the UN COI concluded that evidence supported recognizing many of them as "refugees fleeing persecution or refugees sur place," entitling them to international protection.\(^9\)

China opposed the establishment of the UN COI and remained unsupportive throughout implementation of its mandate.\(^10\) During the UN COI's investigation, the Chinese government refused multiple times to allow UN COI staff entry into China and access to regions where refugees are known to reside.\(^11\) The UN COI was also prevented from meeting with Chinese experts, religious organizations, and other civil society groups working on issues related to North Korea and North Korean refugees.\(^12\)
China has long been reluctant to work with UN agencies on issues related to North Korean refugees. The UN COI report indicated China continued to deny the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) “unimpeded access to asylum seekers including those from the DPRK,” despite a 1995 agreement between China and the UNHCR.13 The UN Special Rapporteur on the Situation of Human Rights in the DPRK has indicated China’s cooperation is “a key factor in bringing meaningful change to the situation of human rights” in the DPRK.14 Calling the situation for North Korean refugees “far from ideal” and “not at all sustainable,” the Special Rapporteur urged China to “engage in a constructive dialogue . . . to help find a way forward.”15

Unlawful Repatriation and Worsening Border Conditions

Throughout the 2014 reporting year, China appeared to strengthen measures to stem the flow of North Korean refugees into China, including increasing border security and detaining and repatriating refugees to the DPRK.16 Chinese security officials reportedly were provided guidelines in November 2013 directing them to handle refugees “in the same way they deal with major crimes against the state.”17 South Korean and other international media outlets reported on several instances throughout the 2014 reporting year in which Chinese authorities reportedly detained and, in some cases, repatriated North Korean refugees to the DPRK:

- **November 2.** South Korean media, citing a source in China, reported that Chinese authorities detained and later repatriated 17 North Korean refugees in the following three locations: Shenyang municipality, Liaoning province; Yanji city, Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Jilin province; and Dandong municipality, Liaoning province.18
- **November 7.** South Korean media, citing a South Korean activist, reported that Chinese authorities near Beijing municipality detained and later repatriated five North Korean refugees.19
- **November 15.** Chinese authorities reportedly detained between 13 and 15 North Korean refugees, including 2 guides reported to be ethnic Korean Chinese citizens, in Yunnan province.20 As of November 19, South Korean media reported Chinese authorities had transferred the refugees to Liaoning in preparation for repatriation.21
- **June 19.** Chinese authorities reportedly detained 11 North Korean refugees in Jilin province.22 As of July 3, a refugee advocacy organization reported authorities continued to detain the refugees in the cities of Yanji and Tumen in Yanbian Korean Autonomous Prefecture, Jilin.23
- **July 15–17.** Chinese authorities reportedly detained between 20 and 29 North Korean refugees and several others assisting them in Shandong and Yunnan provinces.24 As of July 24, South Korean media indicated the refugees faced repatriation following their transfer by Chinese authorities to a detention center in Tumen.25
• **August 12.** Chinese authorities reportedly detained 11 North Korean refugees in Yunnan along the border with Laos.\(^{26}\)

China’s heightened efforts to stem the flow of refugees came amid increased political instability in the DPRK. Border security reportedly increased sharply following the execution of Jang Sung-taek, a leading figure in the North Korean government and uncle of DPRK paramount leader Kim Jong-un, in December 2013.\(^{27}\) Christian missionaries and aid groups also reported over the last year that Chinese authorities have been cracking down on “Christian-run NGOs and businesses” working along the China-North Korea border,\(^{28}\) in some cases detaining foreign nationals, including citizens from South Korea,\(^{29}\) Canada,\(^{30}\) and the United States.\(^{31}\) International and Chinese Christian communities reportedly have been active in assisting refugees, in some cases running orphanages for refugee children or providing aid to refugees in China.\(^{32}\)

Heightened security on both sides of the China-North Korea border appears to be limiting the outflow of North Korean refugees into China and neighboring countries.\(^{33}\) According to the South Korean Ministry of Unification, the number of refugees who reached South Korea in 2013 changed only marginally to 1,516 from 1,509 in 2012.\(^{34}\) The low number of arrivals continued a trend that has seen a significant drop in the number of refugees entering South Korea since 2009.\(^{35}\)

**Trafficking of North Korean Women**

Trafficking of North Korean women in China remained a significant problem. China’s policy of non-recognition of North Korean refugees and the risks associated with repatriation render North Korean women who illegally enter China unprotected by law and extremely vulnerable to abuse.\(^{36}\) Investigations conducted by the UN COI and other experts estimated that over 70 percent of North Korean refugees leaving the DPRK are women, of whom a high number become trafficking victims, primarily for the purposes of forced marriage or sexual exploitation.\(^{37}\) A sex ratio imbalance in rural areas, particularly in northeast China, has exacerbated the problem by creating a demand for marriageable women.\(^{38}\) China is obligated under the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women and the UN Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, especially Women and Children to take measures to safeguard trafficking victims and suppress all forms of trafficking of women.\(^{39}\)

**Children and Denial of Basic Rights**

Children born to North Korean women remain largely deprived of basic rights to education and other public services in China.\(^{40}\) While several international experts contend that Chinese policies have changed in recent years to allow a greater majority of these children access to education and other social services,\(^{41}\) China’s non-recognition of refugees and the risk of repatriation continue to influence the decision of some parents not to register their child’s birth.\(^{42}\) China’s repatriation of North Korean women who have
given birth to children in China contravenes its obligations under the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child prohibiting separation of children from their parents.43
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